

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE

MUNICIPAL MANAGER:

MAANDA PRINGLE RAEDANI

AND

EXECUTIVE MANAGER: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES

SANDILE MBANJWA

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2020 TO 30 JUNE 2021

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 1



PERFOMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by M.P. RAEDANI as the MUNICIPAL MANAGER (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

And

SANDILE MBANJWA as the EXECUTIVE MANAGER: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES of MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of Section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
 - 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B), 57(C) and 57(5) of the Systems Act, Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Manager, 2006 & Local Government: Competency Framework for Senior Managers, 2014.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

2.1 Comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act as well as the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 2

AZ SK. N

- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3. COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **01 JULY 2020** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2021**; where after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.3 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.4 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4. PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 3

SAC .

ZNN

Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.

- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe within which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- 4.4 The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

THE EMPLOYEE AGREES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENTS SYSTEM THAT THE EMPLOYER ADOPTS

- 6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.
 - 6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Competency Requirements (Leading & Core Competencies) (CR) respectively.
 - 6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
 - 6.2.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 4

The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS (KPA'S)	WEIGHTING %
Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development	60%
Financial Viability	20%
Good Governance and Public Participation	10%
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	8%
Local Economic Development	2%
Total	100

6.4 The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee.

The six (6) core competencies that act as drivers to ensure that the leading competencies are executed at an optimal level

executed at an optimal level		
LEADING COMPETENCY F	REQUIREMENTS	WEIGHTS %
Strategic Direction and Leadership	 Impact and influence Institutional Performance Management Strategic Planning and Management Organizational Awareness 	10
People Management & Empowerment	 Human Capital Planning & Development Diversity Management Employee Relations Management Negotiation and Dispute Management 	10
Program & Project Management	 Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	20
Financial Management	Budget Planning & ExecutionFinancial Strategy & DeliveryFinancial Reporting & Monitoring	20
Change Leadership	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	20

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 5

AZ BAL

LEADING COMPETENCY	REQUIREMENTS	WEIGHTS %
Governance Leadership	Policy Formulation	20
	Risk and Compliance Management	
	Cooperative Governance	
COR	E COMPETENCIES	-
	Moral Competence	
P	lanning and Organizing	
A	Analysis and Innovation	
Knowledg	e and Information Management	
	Communication]
R	esult and Quality Focus	
TOTAL		100

7. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
 - 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan (PDP) as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:
 - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
 - (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;
 - (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 6

AZ_

DAL

7.5.2 Assessment of the CRs

- (a) Each CR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CR
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

OVERALL PERFORMANCE	RATING	PERFORMANCE SCORE
Unacceptable Performance Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	1	0% - 59%
Performance Not Fully Effective Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.	2	60% – 69%
Performance Fully Effective Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job	3	70% – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.	4	80% – 89 %

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa

AZ

DAL

A.W.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE	RATING	PERFORMANCE SCORE
Outstanding Performance Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90% – 100 %

- For purposes of evaluating the performance of the Executive Manager: UMS, an evaluation 7.7 panel constituted by the following persons must be established -
 - 7.7.1 Municipal Manager;
 - 7.7.2 Chairperson of the Performance Audit Committee or Audit Committee in the absence of a Performance Audit Committee;
 - 7.7.3 Member of the Mayoral Committee;
 - 7.7.4 Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and

SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

The performance of each Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement shall 8.1 be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Quarter	Timeline	Date of the Review
First Quarter	July - September 2020	October 2020
Second Quarter	October – December 2020	January 2021
Third Quarter	January – March 2021	April 2021
Fourth Quarter	April – June 2021	n/a

- The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the mid-year 8.2 review and annual assessment meetings.
- Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's 8.3 performance.
- The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of 8.4 Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 8

The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure A whenever the performance 8.5 management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 10.1 The Employer shall -
 - 10.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
 - 10.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
 - 10.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee;
 - 10.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 10.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

11. CONSULTATION

- The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the 11.1 powers will have amongst others -
 - 11.1.1 a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
 - 11.1.2 commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
 - 11.1.3 a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken 11.2 pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Page 9 Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa

12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of performance and the amount payable would be calculated on the following basis:

		Performance Rating	Bonus Amount
1.	0% - 59%	Performance Unacceptable	0% of total package
2.	60% - 69%	Performance Not Fully Effective	
3.	70% - 79%	Performance Fully Effective	Remuneration Progression
4.	80% - 89%	Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance	Remuneration Progression 5% - 9% of Total Package
5.	90% - 100%	Outstanding Performance	Remuneration Progression 10% - 14% of total package

- 12.3 The Employee will be eligible for progression to the next higher remuneration package, within the relevant remuneration band, after completion of at least twelve months (12) service at the current remuneration package on 30 June (end of financial year) subject to a fully effective performance assessment results and above.
- 12.4 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 12.4.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.4.2 after appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by
 - 13.1.1 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the executive mayor or mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee; whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties.

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page 10

DAC

13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

14. GENERAL

- 14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.
- 14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

	representation and an area of expensing of flow
regulations, circulars, policies, directives or oth	ner instruments.
Thus done and signed at . Kruffers 4019on the	nis the 31 day of July 2020
AS WITNESSES:	
1 Mk houto	As a second
	EXECUTIVE MANAGER: UTILITIES
1000	MANAGEMENT SERVICES
2. 1. Khota.	

Thus done and signed at KRUGERSDORP on this the 31 day of JULY 2020

AS WITNESSES:

1. Betweek	Maeda
	MUNICIPAL MANAGER

2. France

2020/2021 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between Municipal Manager: M.P. Raedani and Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services: S.H Mbanjwa Page

Az PA-P

4
₩
0
_
8
ä
α.

CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES	WEIGHTING	KPI	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	EVIDENCE	
			1=Departmental Plan approved before the end of 30 April 2021 2= Departmental Plan approved before the 31 March 2021		
	Ľ	(Strategic Planning and Management) Approved Department Plan aligned to the	3= Departmental Plan approved before the 28 February 2021	Approved Departmental Plan	
	>	SDBIP (to inform the 2019/2020 budget)	4=Departmental Plan approved before the 31 January 2021	aligned to the SDBIP	
			5=Departmental Plan approved before the 31 December 2020		
			1= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 30/09/2020		
Strategic Direction and Leadership			2= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 15/09/2020		
	ν	No. of Individual Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers by 31	3= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 31/08/2020	Two (2) 2020/2021 Performance Management	
		August 2020	4= 42Performance Management Agreements signed by the 20/08/2020	Agreements signed with Managers	
			5= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 10/08/2020		B
		Page 1 of 4		BAZ AZ	3 A R

			1=PDPs developed by 30		
			2=PDPs developed by 31 October 2020	Proof on the submission of PDPs	
People Management and Empowerment	10	Development of Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for Managers	3=PDPs developed by 30 September 2020	for Managers to Learning and	
			4=PDPs developed by 15 September 2020	division for	
			5=PDPs developed by 31 August 2020		
			1= 59% and below implementation within set timeframes		
			2= 60-69% implementation within set timeframes	Project Plan(s) and Reports on the	
	10	Operational Programs/ Projects implemented	3= 70-79% implementation within set timeframes	implementation or Quarterly SDBIP	
			4= 80-89% implementation within set timeframes	Keports	
Program and Project Management	o de la constantina della cons		5= 90-100% implementation within set timeframes		
,			1= 59% and below implementation within set timeframes		
			2= 60-69% implementation within set timeframes	SDBIP Quarterly	
	10	Service Delivery Management	3= 70-79% implementation within set timeframes	Performance Reports from Monitoring and	
			4= 80-89% implementation within set timeframes	Evaluation Division	
			5= 90-100% implementation within set timeframes	del	
		Page 2 of 4		Set Control of the Co	

Page 3 of 4

				56
1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 In year monitoring report; Quarterly UIF reports	1 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 In year monitoring report	Change Management Plan for the projects to be implemented	Agenda and Attendance Register of departmental meetings	Revised and Developed Policies vs Policies submitted to Council in the 2019/2020 financial year for Approval
1= 20% and more increase of UIF 2= 10% increase of UIF 3= 10% reduction of UIF 4= 20% reduction of UIF 5= 30% reduction of UIF	1= 92% Spent 2= 94% Spent 3= 96% Spent 4= 98% Spent 5= 100% Spent	1=59% and Below Implementation 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100%Implementation	1= 2 meetings 2= 3 meetings 3= 4 meetings 4= 5 meetings 5= 6 meetings	1=59% and Below submission of comments within timeframe set 2=60-69% submission of comments within timeframe set 3=70-79% submission of comments within timeframe set
% Reduction of Unauthorized Irregular Fruitless (UIF) expenditure	% of grant funded capital budget spent at the end of financial year	1=59% and Below Implementation 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100%Implementation	Communication to employees	Revision and Development of Policies
10	10	10	10	10
Financial Management		Change Leadership		

Governance Leadership			1=59% and Below implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	
			2=60-69% implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	
	10	% Implementation of the annual risk management implementation plan	3=70-79 % implementation of departmental Risk mitigation measures	Dashboard Risk Management Reports from M&E
			4=80-89 % implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	
			5=90 - 100% implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	The second se
Total=100				
Signed and Accepted by the Exe	sutive Manage	Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services		
Sandile Mbanjwa Date:	3107	2020		
Approved by the Municipal Manager	anager			
Maanda Pringle Raedani	1/2020			

SURWAITE	SANDILE		NAME	MBANJWA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
POSITION	EXECUTIVE MANAGER:	UMS	REPORT TO	MUNICIPAL MANAGER		
SALARY LEVEL			2 SALARY BAND	S56		
DEPARTMENT	SMU		FINANCIAL YEAR	2020/2021		
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self- study, on-the- job, formal- dates and cost)	Support required (e.g. coaching)	Developme nt review and assessmen t: Training provider	Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)
Change Management	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer
Program and Project Management	Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management. Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer

o Trainer	21/07/2020
Service provider to be appointed.	DATE
Training through a service provider	(program
of evidence red by the institution.	MM'S SIGNATURE
Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	1/01/2020
 mSCOA implementation aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Policy implementation: 	TIS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO
Financial Management	EMPLOYEE

A STATE OF THE STA

National	Outcome 9: Respo	onsive, accour	ntable, effecti	Outcome 9: Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient local government system	cal governme	nt system					
NDP Chapter	NDP: Building a p	rofessional ca	pable citizen	NDP: Building a professional capable citizen focused public service NDP Chapter 13	ervice NDP Ch	lapter 13					
Strategic	To provide sustainable services to the community	nable services	to the comn	nunity		,					
DEPARTMEN	DEPARTMENT: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES - 70 KDA: SERVICE DEI IVERY AND INFRASTRICTIIRE DEVELOPMENT 60%	NAGEMENT D INFRASTR	SERVICES .	- 70 -VELOPMENT 60	%(
SDBIP Ref.	PLANNING	MSCOA	WARDS TO PERI	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL	QUARTER	PROGRAMME /PROJECT MILESTONE	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
									۵1	7%	1=9 % of electricity losses measured
									92	%2	2=8% of electricity losses measured
KPI B/701	Executive	Electricity	All Wards	% of electricity	15%	%		%/		ì	3=7% of electricty losses measured
	Manager: Output	rosses		losses measmen					<u> </u>	%	4=6 % of electricity losses measured
									Q4	%2	5=5% of electricity losses measured
									<u> </u>	15%	1=17 % and more water losses measured
									02	15%	2=16 % water losses measured
KPI H/702	Executive Manager: Output	Water losses	All Wards	% water losses measured	15%	%	15%	15%	පි	15%	3=15 % water losses measured
											4=14 % water losses measured
					-				Q 4	15%	5=13 % water losses measured
									\ 		

sp ₁ 0	nt sss rsus	, nned	ed is to	ed is to	splot n bi	ent sess srsus	ر ۾	ned ss to	ned ss to	Č
1=3% and more under achievement on households with access to electricity planned versus achieved	2=2% under achievement on households with access to electricity planned versus achieved	3=% of households with access to electricity planned versus achieved	4=1% higher than planned achievement with access to electricity	5=2% higher than planned achievement with access to electricity	1=3% and more under achievement on households with access to sanitation planned versus achieved	2=2% under achievement on households with access to sanitation planned versus achieved	3=% of households with access to sanitation planned versus achieved	4=1% higher than planned achievement with access to sanitation	5=2% higher than planned achievement with access to sanitation	(
87%	%88%		%88	89%	%28	88%		%68	%06	(
20	02		<u>ප</u>	Q. 45	6	07		కొ	940	
	%68						%06			
	%18	,					%68			
	%						%			
	10%						10%			
% of households with access to electricity							% households with access to sanitation services			
All Wards				All Wards						
	Electicity	0000				Sanitation				
	Executive	Manager.					Executive Manager: Output			
	KPI H/703						KPI H/704			

								01	%86	1=3% and more under achievement on households with access to water services planned versus achieved
<u>.</u>	1		% of households					02	94%	2=2% under achievement on households with access to water services planned versus achieved
Executive Manager: Output	water	All Wards	with access to	10%	%	%86	%96 %	පි	94%	3=% of households with access to water services planned versus achieved
										4=1% higher than planned achievement with access to water services
								Q4	%96%	5=2% higher than planned achievement with access to water services
KPA: FINANCIAL VIABILITY 20%	%									
PLANNING	MSCOA	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME /PROJECT MILESTONE	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
			-					۵. 1	100%	1=59 % and below of new meters installed in line with applications received
			ò					075	100%	2=60-69 % of new meters installed in line with applications received
Executive Manager: Output	New installations	All Wards	installed in line with applications received	20%	%	New target	100%	83	100%	3=70-79 % of new meters installed in line with applications received 4=80-89% of new meters installed in line with applications received
								Q4	100%	5=90-100% of new meters installed in line with applications received
									(

KPA: GOOD G	KPA: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 10%	PUBLIC PAR	TICIPATION	%01							
SDBIP Ref. No	PLANNING	MSCOA	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE '	ANNUAL	QUARTER	PROGRAMME /PROJECT MILESTONE	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
									2	<u> </u>	1=59% and below implementation of the AG Audit Action Plans
									92	1	2=60-69% implementation of the AG Audit Action Plans
KPI A/707	Executive Manager: Output	AG Audit Action plans	All Wards	% implementation of AG Audit Action plans	5%	%	New target	100%	Ĉ) 0 0	3=70-79% implementation of the AG Audit Action Plans
										°^	4=80-89% implementation of the AG Audit Action Plans
									04	100%	5=90-100% implementation of the AG Audit Action Plans
									۵1	1	1=59 % and below implementation of departmental mitigation actions on Strategic Risk Register
KPI B/7XX	Executive	Risk	All Wards	% implementation of departmental mitigation actions	%5	%	New Target	100%	02	100%	2=60-69 % implementation of departmental mitigation actions on Strategic Risk Register
				on the Strategic Risk Register					03	100%	4= 80-89% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on Strategic Risk Register
								1 1 1 1	90	100%	5=90-100% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on Strategic Risk Register
										"	

KPA: MUNICIP	AL TRANSFORMA	TION AND OR	GANISATION	KPA; MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 8%	₩8 L						
SDBIP Ref. No	PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME /PROJECT MILESTONE	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
									Ş	10 days	1=12 days taken to attend to employees grievances from time of submission
				Days taken to					Q2	10 days	2=11 days taken to attend to employees grievances from time of submission
KPI G/708	Executive Manager: Output	Labour disputes	All Wards	attend to employee grievances within the prescribed timelines (Step 2)	%	Days	New target	10 days	03	10 days	3=10 days taken to attend to employees grievances from time of submission 4=9 days taken to attend to employees grievances from time of submission
									Q4	10 days	5=8 days taken to attend to employees grievances from time of submission
KPA: LOCAL E	KPA: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 2%	OPMENT 2%									
SDBIP Ref. No	PLANNING	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASELINE	ANNUAL	QUARTER	PROGRAMME /PROJECT MILESTONE	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS
,									Q1	l	1=59 % and below of planned youth exposed to employment opportunities achieved
									Q2	ļ	2=60-69 % of planned youth exposed to employment opportunities achieved
KPI 1/709	Executive Manager: Output	Youth	All Wards	No. of youth exposed to employment	1%	Number	New target	25	3	ر ب	3=70-79% of planned youth exposed to employment opportunities achieved
									9	}	4=80-89% of planned youth exposed to employment opportunities achieved
									Q4		5=90-100% of planned youth exposed to employment opportunities achieved

				, ,	
1=59% and below of employment opportunities created versus planned	2=60-69% of employment opportunities created versus planned	3=70-79% of employment opportunities created versus planned	4=80-89% of employment opportunities created versus planned	5=90-100% of employment opportunities created versus planned	
ı	1	25		203	
. 10	0 2	ဗ		\$5 ************************************	
		228			
		178			
		Number			
		%			Total=100%
	No. of	All Wards opportunities created			
		All Wards			
		Executive Employment Manager: Output Opportunities			
		KPI J/710			

Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager. Utilities Management Services

Sandile Mbanjwa___

10/ Date: 3(

Approved by the Monicipal Manager

Maanda Pringle Raedani

Date:

31/07/2020

