

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE

MUNICIPAL MANAGER:

MAANDA PRINGLE RAEDANI

AND


EXECUTIVE MANAGER: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES

SANDILE MBANJWA

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2021 TO 30 JUNE 2022





PERFOMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by M.P. RAEDANI as the MUNICIPAL MANAGER (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

And

SANDILE MBANJWA as the EXECUTIVE MANAGER: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES of MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of Section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
 - 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B), 57(C) and 57(5) of the Systems Act, Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Manager, 2006 & Local Government: Competency Framework for Senior Managers, 2014.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to -

2.1 Comply with the provisions of Section 57(1)(b),(4A),(4B), (4C) and (5) of the Systems Act as well as the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;

- 2.2 Specify, objectives and targets established for the Employee, and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Agreement and Performance Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3. COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- This Agreement will commence on the **01 JULY 2021** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2022**; where after a new Performance Agreement, Performance Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.3 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.4 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4. PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-
 - 4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and
 - 4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.
- 4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the

- Budget of the Employer, and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.
- 4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe within which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.
- The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

5. PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- 5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.
- 5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.
- 5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

6. THE EMPLOYEE AGREES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENTS SYSTEM THAT THE EMPLOYER ADOPTS

- 6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.
- 6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.
 - 6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Competency Requirements (Leading & Core Competencies) (CR) respectively.
 - 6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.
 - 6.2.3 KPAs covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.

Ş.

lage 4

The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS (KPA'S)	WEIGHTING %
Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development	60%
Financial Viability	20%
Good Governance and Public Participation	10%
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	5%
Local Economic Development	5%
Total	100%

6.4 The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee.

The six (6) core competencies that act as drivers to ensure that the leading competencies are executed at an optimal level

LEADING COMPETENCY F	PERINDEMENTS	WEIGHTS %
TEACHING COMPETITIONS F	COUNTRIES OF THE PROPERTY OF T	
Strategic Direction and Leadership	 Impact and influence Institutional Performance Management Strategic Planning and Management Organizational Awareness 	10
People Management & Empowerment	 Human Capital Planning & Development Diversity Management Employee Relations Management Negotiation and Dispute Management 	10
Program & Project Management	 Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	20
Financial Management	 Budget Planning & Execution Financial Strategy & Delivery Financial Reporting & Monitoring 	20
Change Leadership	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	20

Page 5

S.

LEADING COMPETENCY	REQUIREMENTS	WEIGHTS %
Governance Leadership	 Policy Formulation Risk and Compliance Management Cooperative Governance 	20
	E COMPETENCIES Moral Competence Planning and Organizing	-
	Analysis and Innovation ge and Information Management	
	Communication Result and Quality Focus	
TOTAL	•	100%

7. EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

- 7.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-
 - 7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and
 - 7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.
- 7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.
- 7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan (PDP) as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.
- 7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.
- 7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:
 - 7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:
 - (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
 - (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;
 - (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.3 below) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

SAN ()

7.5.2 Assessment of the CRs


- (a) Each CR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met.
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CR
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator (refer to paragraph 6.5.1) must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CCRs:

OVERALL PERFORMANCE	RATING	PERFORMANCE SCORE
Unacceptable Performance Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	1	0% - 59%
Performance Not Fully Effective Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.	2	60% – 69%
Performance Fully Effective Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job	3	70% – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.	4	80% – 89 %





OVERALL PERFORMANCE R.		PERFORMANCE SCORE
Outstanding Performance Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90% – 100 %

- 7.7 For purposes of evaluating the performance of the Executive Manager: UMS, an evaluation panel constituted by the following persons must be established
 - 7.7.1 Municipal Manager;
 - 7.7.2 Chairperson of the Performance Audit Committee or Audit Committee in the absence of a Performance Audit Committee;
 - 7.7.3 Member of the Mayoral Committee;
 - 7.7.4 Municipal Manager from another Municipality; and

8. SCHEDULE FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Quarter	Timeline	Date of the Review
First Quarter	July – September 2021	October 2021
Second Quarter	October – December 2021	January 2022
Third Quarter	January – March 2022	April 2022
Fourth Quarter	April – June 2022	n/a

- The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

Page 8 (

Set S

8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure A whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

9. DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

- 10.1 The Employer shall -
 - 10.1.1 create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
 - 10.1.2 provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
 - 10.1.3 work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and solutions to common problems that may impact on the performance of the Employee;
 - 10.1.4 on the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
 - 10.1.5 make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

11. CONSULTATION

- 11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others
 - 11.1.1 a direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;
 - 11.1.2 commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and
 - 11.1.3 a substantial financial effect on the Employer.
- 11.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

- 12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.
- 12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of performance and the amount payable would be calculated on the following basis:

	斯尔斯 三人 那	Performance Rating	Benus Amount
1.	0% - 59%	Performance Unacceptable	0% of total package
2.	60% - 69%	Performance Not Fully Effective	
3.	70% - 79%	Performance Fully Effective	Remuneration Progression
4.	80% - 89%	Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance	Remuneration Progression 5% - 9% of Total Package
5.	90% - 100%	Outstanding Performance	Remuneration Progression 10% - 14% of total package

- 12.3 The Employee will be eligible for progression to the next higher remuneration package, within the relevant remuneration band, after completion of at least twelve months (12) service at the current remuneration package on 30 June (end of financial year) subject to a fully effective performance assessment results and above.
- 12.4 In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall -
 - 12.4.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and
 - 12.4.2 after appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

13. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- 13.1 Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by
 - 13.1.1 In the case of Managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, the executive mayor or mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the employee; whose decision shall be final and binding on both parties.



13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.14. GENERAL

14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.

14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

Strategic To provide sustainable services to the community Coal DEPARTMENT: UTILITIES MANAGEMENT SERVICES - 60 NEPA: SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT 60% SDBIP Ref. LEVEL PROJECT BENEFIT INDICATOR NO LEVEL LEVEL PROJECT BENEFIT INDICATOR LEVEL LEVEL LOSSES KPI C/711 Executive Executive Losses KPI C/702 Executive Water losses All Wards % water losses All Wards % water losses	WEIGHTING 15%	MIT OF REASURE	BASELINE 6	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER Q1 Q2 Q3	PROGRAMME/ PROGRAMME/ PROGRAMME/ MILESTONE 10%	RESPONSIBLE PERSON EXECUTIVE Manager: Utilities Management Services	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT 1=59 % and below against target set 2=60-69% achievement	
KPI C/702 KPI C/702 KPI C/702 KPI C/702 KPI C/702 KPA: SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT 60% WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE BENEFIT INDICATOR Manager: Output Water losses Water losses Water losses Water losses	es 15%	UNIT OF WEASURE %			Q2 Q3			INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT 1=59 % and below against target set 2=60-69% achievement	
KPI: SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT 60% SDBIP Ref. PLANNING MSCOA WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE No Executive Electricity Losses KPI C/711 Executive Evecutive Electricity All Wards Manager: Output Losses KPI C/702 Executive Water losses Hall Wards Water losses All Wards Water losses Manager: Output Mana	es 15%	MEASURE %			Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT 1=59 % and below against target set 2=60-69% achievement	
SDBIP Ref. PLANNING MSCOA WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE No LEVEL PROJECT BENEFIT INDICATOR RPI C/711 Executive Electricity Losses KPI C/702 Executive Water losses All Wards Manager: Output Water losses Manager: Output Water losses	es 45%	MEASURE %			QUARTER Q2 Q2 Q3		} · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT 1=59 % and below against target set 2=60-69% achievement	
Executive Electricity All Wards Manager: Output Executive Manager: Output Water losses All Wards		8	%8		0.7	10% 10% 10%	Executive Manager Unities Management Services	1=59 % and below against target set 2=60-69% achievement	CMC LINK
Executive Electricity All Wards Manager: Output Executive Manager: Output Water losses All Wards		8	%8		33 03	10%	Executive Manager Utilities Management Services	2=60-69% achievement	Financial Management
Executive Electricity All Wards Losses All Wards Manager: Output Water losses All Wards		8	%8		82	10%	Executive Manager Utilities Management Services	Advisor turget net	(Financial Strategy & Delivery)
Manager: Output Losses All Wards Manager: Output Water losses All Wards		R	8		033	10%	Management Services	3=70-79% achievement	
Executive Manager: Output Water losses All Wards						10%		against target set 4=80-89%	
Executive Water losses All Wards						10%		acnievement against target set	
Executive Water losses All Wards					\$			5=90-100% achievement againt	
Executive Water losses All Wards					01	15%		1=59 % and below	Financial
Executive Water losses All Wards Manager: Output			-					against target set 2=60-69%	Management (Financial Strateey
Executive Water losses All Wards Manager: Output					05	15%		achievement against target set	& Delivery)
Manager: Output Water losses All Wards		;					Executive Manager: Utilities	3=70-79% achievement	
	ured 20%	\$	<u>%</u>	% C.	83	15%	Management Services	against target set 4=80-89%	
								achievement against target set	
					04	15%		5=90-100% achievement againt	
					5	2000	1	1=59 % and below	Program & Project
					ÿ .	8 O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O		against target set 2=60-69%	Management (Service Delivery
					02	%06		achievement against target set	Management)
Executive		;				War and the same of the same o	Executive Manager: Utilities		
Ħ	viectricity - 5% vistribution	%	%06 6	% D D	පි	%06	Management Services	against target set 4=80-89%	.
								achievement	
				•				5=90-100%	_
					\$	%06	NAVOCATE PARTY	achievement againt target set	$\overline{}$
					5	%06		1=59 % and below against target set	Program & Project Management

																												ĺ	À	4
(Service Delivery Management)			Program & Project Management	(Service Delivery Management)						4.4.4.4.4.4	Program & Project Management	(Service Delivery	Management)								Program & Project	Management (Service Delivery	Management)		1	. 1	٠			
2=60-69% achievement against target set	3=70-79% achievement against target set 4=80-89% achievement	S=90-100% achievement againt target set	d below get set			against target set	achievement	against target set 5=90-100%	achievement againt	Target set	L=59 % and below against target set	2=60-69%	achievement	3=70-79%	achievement	against target set	achievement	against target set	5=90-100% achievement againt	target set	1=59 % and below	2=60-69%	achievement	against target set	3=70-79%	achievement against target set	4=80-89%	achievement	against target set 5=90-100%	achievement againt target set
	Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services				Executive Manager: Utilities	Management Services	}			-		T	.,.,	Executive Manager: 3=70-79%	Utilities	Management Services									Executive Manager: 3#70-79%	Utilities Management	Services			
%06	%0s	%06	70%	70%		70%			70%		%5%		%56			95%			%S6.	! !	70%		%02		%02					20%
05	03	04	Б	۵2		ဗ			24		5		02			83			Š	- \$	5		05				ප			55 54
	%06		70%												95%	}										402				
	%06				200	8001 8001					100%								100%											
	%				ì	8									ð	2										%	•			
	%5					Š n									70%	3										2%				
	% households with access to sanilation services - Formal settlement				% households with access to sanitation	services- Informal	Oemena								% of households with	- Formal Settlements									% of households with	access to water services-	Informal Settlements			
	All Wards					All Wards									All Marde											All Wards				
	Sanitation services				Sanitation	services						-			ording or a state of the	vaigi seivices										Water services			-	
	Executive Manager: Output				Exposurive	Manager: Output									Executive	Manager: Output					1110111111					Executive	Manager: Output			
	KPI H/704(a)			. –		KPI H/704(b)									(*) #000	NF (17/03 (a)										KP1 H/705 (b)	•			

1,00

MARCES TO KEY PERPONANANE MEGADIFICA MEGADIFICA MARCES TO KEY PERPONANANA MEGADIFICA	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure Grants All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards				-		TYCE KANCE		10000	CIVICLIANS
Gounts 41 A March 42 A March<	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure All Wards expenditure		UNIT OF MEASURE		ANNUAL	QUARTER	PROJECT	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	
Commission All Warran Supprendiction N VISION State Control Supprendiction Supp	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure All Wards expenditure		Manual Control of the			Š	19%		1=59 % and below	Financial
Counts	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure All Wards expenditure					ŝ			against target set	Management
Control All Vivers All Vi	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards expenditure All Wards expenditure					6	47%		achievement	and execution)
Commission Authorise Supported to a control of co	Grants All Wards expenditure All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards expenditure					ļ	2		against target set	
Control	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure Grants All Wards							Executive Manager	3=70-79%	
Control Cont	Grants All Wards expenditure All Wards expenditure		%	100%	100%			Utilities		
Colorest All Wates All W	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure					8	76%	Management	against target set	
Charitis All Wards Suppenditure on INED 2% % 100% 1	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure							}	achievement	
Colorists Au Wards % expenditure on NBC 2% 3,00% 3,00% 4	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards								against target set	
Companies Ali Vigards Al	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards								2=90-100%	
Carette All Wards % expenditure on NIPO 2% % 100% 1	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants All Wards				•	04	100%		achievement againt target set	
Clarity All Wards Septendiur on NISP 2% % 100% 1	Grants All Wards expenditure All Wards expenditure					5	408/		1=59 % and below	Financial
Claritis All Wards Suppenditure on NIPG 2% % 100% 100%	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure					5	40%		against target set	Management
Cranta All Wards % expenditure on INEP 2%	Grants All Wards expenditure All Wards expenditure					8	7000		2=50-69% achievement	(Budget planning
Chants	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure					77	86.28		against target set	
Contact All Wards Septenditure on NNPO 2% 5% 100% 1	Grants All Wards expenditure Grants expenditure							Executive Manager		
Application	Grants All Wards expenditure		%	100%	100%			Utilities		
Secondaries Secondaries on NDG Second	Grants All Wards		₹		2	83	80%	Management Services	against target set	
All Wards All	Grants All Wards expenditure							}	4=60-63%	
Severe Sept. Sep	Grants All Wards expenditure								against target set	
All Wards Sexpenditure on NDPG All Wards All Wards Sexpenditure on NDPG All Wards A	Grants All Wards expenditure								5=90-100%	
All Wards	Grants All Wards expenditure					Ω ₄	100%		achievement againt	
Claritis All Wards Sexpenditure on HSDG 2% CAS C	Grants All Wards expenditure								1=59 % and below	Financial
Charles All Wards All Wa	Grants All Wards expenditure					ō	E		against target set	Management
Grants All Wards Sexpenditure on HSDG 2% % 100	Grants All Wards expenditure								2=60-69%	(Budget planning
Grants All Wards Sexpenditure on HSDG 2% % sexpenditure on NDPG 2% % sexpenditure	Grants All Wards expenditure					02	45%		achievement	and execution)
Charmis All Wards Sexpenditure on HSDG 2% % 100% 10	Grants All Wards expenditure								against target set	
Grants All Wards % expenditure on HSDG 2-% % 100% 100% G5% G5% Wanagement against target set active went	Grants All Wards expenditure							Executive Manage		
expenditure Circuits Grants Circuits Management against target set active weet Against target set Against target set <th< td=""><td>expenditure ni vvalus</td><td></td><td>%</td><td>100%</td><td>100%</td><td></td><td></td><td>Utilities</td><td>achievement</td><td></td></th<>	expenditure ni vvalus		%	100%	100%			Utilities	achievement	
All Wards All Wards All Wards Are with a part of the word of the with a part of the word of the with a part of the word of the wor		? i			!	03	65%	Nanagement Services	against target set	
Separate Separate									achievement	
Sept100% Sep									against target set	
Company Comp									5=90-100%	
Carats All Wards All War						8	100%		achievement againt	
Second Properties Seco									target set	
Crants All Wards All War						5	30%		1=59 % and below	Financial
Grants All Wards Wards Wards Wards Grants All Wards Grants All Wards Grants Grants All Wards Grants All Wards Grants Grants									2=60-69%	(Budget planning
Grants All Wards % expenditure on NDPG 2% % 100% 100% 2000 Management Management						07	%09		achievement	and execution)
Grants All Wards % expenditure on NDPG 2% % 100% 100% 20% Management Management									against target set	
Grants All Wards % expenditure on NUP's 2% % 100% 100% 2. Management expenditure		0						Executive Manage		
	Grants All Wards		%	100%	100%	;	, ,	Management	against target set	

		Program & Project Management	(Service Delivery Management)		·.	Financial Management	(Financial Strategy & Delivery)				CMC LINK	Change Leadership (Change Impact	Monitoring & Evaluation)					Governance Leadership (Risk and
4=80-89% achievement against target set	5=90-100% achievement againt target set	d below get set			achievement against target set 5=90-100% achievement againt target set	d below rget set			achievement against target set 5=90-100% achievement againt target set			1=59 % and below against target set	2=60-69% achievement against target set		4=80-89% achievement	against target set 5≐90-100%	achievement againt target set	1=59 % and below against target set
Services			·	Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services		AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	E	Executive Manager. Utilities Management Services			RESPONSIBLE PERSON			Executive Manager: Utilities Management	Services	 		
3	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		PROGRAMME/ PROJECT MILESTONE	I	•	-	1		100%	1
3	0.4	Q.4	02	පි	04	2	22	80	۵ 4		QUARTER	۵. ام	0 2	- C	3		Q.	۵
				100%				100%			ANNUAL TARGET			100%				A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
		and the second s		100%				New Target			BASELINE	100%						
* -				%				%			UNIT OF MEASURE	%						
	1			7%				%\$			WEIGHTING	5%						
				% new meters installed in line with applications received				% revenue collected from other revenue sources		%	WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE BENEFIT INDICATOR	Tour transfer		% implementation of AG Audit Action plans				144000
				All Wards				All Wards		CIPATION 10	WARDS TO BENEFIT			All Wards				
				New installations				Improved working capital		D PUBLIC PARTI	MSCOA PROJECT			AG Audit Action plans				
				Executive New installations All Wards Manager: Output				Executive Manager: Output		KPA: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION 10%	PLANNING			Executive Manager: Output				
				KPI D/705				KPI C/711		KPA: GOOD G	KPA: GOOD GOVE SDBIP Ref. No KP! A/706 Mar							

is is is in the second of the

Compliance Management)			Governance Leadership (Risk and	Compliance Management)			· · · · · ·	Financial Management	(Financial Strategy & Delivery)			CMC LINK		People Management &	Empowerment (Negotiation and Dispute Management)		A
2=60-69% achievement against target set	3=70-79% achievement against target set 4=80-89% achievement against target set	5=90-100% achievement againt target set	1=59 % and below against target set			achievement against target set	5=90-100% achievement againt target set		2=60-69% achievement against target set	3=70-79% achievement against target set 4=80-89% achievement against target set	5=90-100% achievement againt target set	INDIVIDUAL	MEASUREMENT	d below get set	2=60-69% achievement against target set 3=70-79%	achievement against target set 4=80-89%	achievement against target set
	Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services				Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services					Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services		RESPONSIBLE	PERSON	,	Executive Manager:	Utilities Management Services	
	. 1	100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	1		75%	100%	PROGRAMME/	PROJECT	100%	100%		100%
02	ರತ	Q4	5	Ω2	8		24	2	02	03	04		QUARTER	8	05		89
	100%				100%					,000%		ANNUAL	TARGET			100%	
	100%		100%							100%			BASELINE	No grievances			
	%				%					%		FINIT OF	MEASURE	%			
	2%				%8					%			WEIGHTING			2%	
	% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register				% implementation of planned key legislation compliance requirements					% implementation of the Finance Management Services procurement plan		KPA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 5% SCHOOL DOCK MARPINS TO KEY PERFORMANCE MARPINS TO KEY PERFORMANCE	BENEFIT INDICATOR		% of grievances	attended within the set time lines(step 2) finalised internally	
	All Wards				All Wards					All Wards		MARDS TO	BENEFIT			All Wards	
	Risk Management		1		key legislative requirements					Procurement		ATION AND ORG	PROJECT			Labour disputes	
	Executive Manager: Output				Executive Manager Output					Executive Manager Output		AL TRANSFORM	LEVEL			Executive Manager: Output	
	KPI B/707				KPI L712					KPI L/713	KPA: MUNICIF	No No			KPI G/708		

Vý

		13.551.3					. :			•											-								
		CMC LINK	Strategic Direction and Leadership	(Impact and	influence)			· .						•		Strategic Direction	and Leadership	(Impact and	influence)		, 3.	÷.					• • •	•	
5=90-100% achievement againt target set				2=60-69%	achievement	against target set	3=70-79%	achievement	against target set	4=80-89%	achievement	against target set	2=90:100%	achievement againt	target set	1=59 % and below	against target set		achievement	against target set	3=70-79%	achievement	against target set	4=80-89%	achievement	against target set	5=90-100%	achievement againt	target set
		RESPONSIBLE PERSON					Executive Manager: 3=70-79%	Utilities	nent	Services											Executive Manager	Executive initiages, achievement	Management	Services					
100%		PROGRAMME/ PROJECT MILESTONE	99		1					1						****	121		ŧ					I				20	2
04		QUARTER	۵1		02				8	3				24		Š	5		0 2				Š	3				č	<u> </u>
		ANNUAL TARGET						ä	9														200						
		BASELINE		202															423										
		Ш						i de											••				Number						
		WEIGHTING MEASUR						700	9/0														5%						
		WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE BENEFIT INDICATOR					of honorous deposits for all	No. or your exposed to	empioyment													4	no, or employment	obboltuliues cleated					
		WARDS TO BENEFIT						011 30 (000)															All Wards						"
	OPMENT 5%	MSCOA PROJECT	TAXABLE TILL	_				Employment	Opportunities													1	routh	employment			_		
	KPA: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 5%	PLANNING						Executive	Manager: Output													Ĺ	Executive	Manager: Output	•				
	KPA: LOCAL E	SDBIP Ref. No	ware from the field the field was a second to the field of the field o					1	KP11/03														KPI J/710						



	CMCLINK	Strategic Direction and Leadership	(Impact and Influence)								Strategic Direction	and Leadership	(Impact and	2						
	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	1=59 % and below Strategic Direction against target set and Leadership	2=50-69% [achievement [against target set	3=70-79%	against target set	4=80-89%	achievement against target set	5=90-100%	achievement againt target set	1-59 % and below Strategic Direction against Target set and Leadership 2-6-6-59% influence) against target set against target set adainst target set adherement against target set t									
	RESPONSIBLE PERSON	Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services							Executive Manager Utilities Management Services											
	PROGRAMME/ PROJECT MILESTONE	58	1				1			ı	124			L			ı			62.
	QUARTER	2	엉			8	3			5	5	j	õ	ļ		ĉ	3			25
	ANNUAL TARGET	83							500											
	BASELINE	202												423						
		Number								Number										
	WEIGHTING MEASURE	3%							53%											
	WARDS TO KEY PERFORMANCE BENEFIT INDICATOR	No. of youth exposed to employment opportunities							No. of employment opportunities created											
	WARDS TO BENEFIT	All Wards													All Wards					
LOPMENT 5%	MSCOA PROJECT	Employment Opportuniles													Youth					
KPA: LOCAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 5%	PLANNING LEVEL				avinto avit	Ħ										Executive Manager Output				
KPA: LOCAL F	SDBIP Ref. No					KPI 1/709										KPI J/710				

Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager: Utilities Management Service Sandile Mbanywa 217 222



KPAALIGNMENT	Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation					Municipal Institutional Development and	Transformation				People Management (Diversity	Management
EVIDENCE			Gap analysis					Proof on the				
PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT	1=59% and below implementation of identified strategies for the department	2= 60-69% implementation of identified strategies for the department	3= 70-79% implementation of identified strategies for the department	4=80-89% implementation of identified strategies for the department	5=90-100% implementation of identified strategies for the department	1= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 30/09/2021	2= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed after the 15/09/2021	3= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 31/08/2021	4= 42Performance Management Agreements signed by the 20/08/2021	5= 2 Performance Management Agreements signed by the 10/08/2021	1=PDPs developed by 30 November 2021	2=PDPs developed by 31 October 2021
KPI		Implementation of strategies as outlined within the strategic plan.						No. of Individual Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers by 31	August 2020		- CALABOTATION CONTRACTOR CONTRAC	
WEIGHTING			ç					ഹ				
CORE MANAGERIAL COMPETENCIES						Strategic Direction and Leadership						



			Basic Service Delivery;					Basic Service Delivery;				Basic Service	Delivery;				Municipal Institutional Development and Transformation					Municipal	Institutional
submission or PUP's for Managers to Learning and Development Sub- division for implementation			SDBIP Quarterly Performance Reports from Monitoring and Evaluation Division					1 July 2021 to 30 June 2022 In year monitoring report; Quarterly UIF reports					1 July 2021 to 30 June 2022 In year monitoring report					Change Management	to be implemented			74000	Agellua allu Attondonno Doniotor
3=PDPs developed by 30 September 2021	4=PDPs developed by 15 September 2021	5=PDPs developed by 31 August 2021	1= 59% and below implementation within set timeframes	2= 60-69% implementation within set timeframes	3= 70-79% implementation within set timeframes	4= 80-89% implementation within set timeframes	5= 90-100% implementation within set timeframes	1= 20% and more increase of UIF	2= 10% increase of UIF	3= 10% reduction of UIF	4= 20% reduction of UIF 5= 30% reduction of UIF	1= 92% Spent	2= 94% Spent	3= 96% Spent	4= 98% Spent	5= 100% Spent	1=59% and Below Implementation	2=60-69% Implementation	3=70-79% Implementation	4=80-89% Implementation	5=90-100%implementation	1= 2 meetings	2= 3 meetings
Development of Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for Managers					Service delivery implementation				(a) 1 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -	% Reduction of Orlanthorized Irregular Fruitless (UIF) expenditure			% of grant funded capital budget spent at the end of financial year				% Change Management Plan Implementation						
10					70					10				10				ç	2				
People Management and Empowerment					Programme and Project						Financial Management										Change Leadership		

	Iranstormation	Municipal Institutional Development and	Transformation				Good Governance & Public Participation				
nucilualise negister of departmental	meetings	the state of the s	Revised and	Developed Policies vs Policies submitted to Council in the 2021/2022 financial	year for Approval				Dashboard Risk Management Reports	1 5 2 3 3 4 5 5 5 7	
3= 4 meetings	4= 5 meetings 5= 6 meetings	1=59% and Below submission of comments within timeframe set	2=60-69% submission of comments within timeframe set	3=70-79% submission of comments within timeframe set	4=80-89% submission of comments within timeframe set	5=90-100% submission of comments within timeframe set	1=59% and Below implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	2=60-69% implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	3=70-79 % implementation of departmental Risk mitigation measures	4=80-89 % implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures	5=90 - 100% implementation of departmental risk mitigation measures
Communication to employees		The state of the s		Revision and Development of Policies					% Implementation of the annual risk management implementation plan		
10				10					6		
		The second secon					Governance Leadership				

Total=100

Signed and Accepted by the Executive Manager: Utilities Management Services

Sandile Mbanjwa ...
Date: 3(07/20)

Approved by the Municipal Manager

Maanda Pringle Raedani

VÁ		R
----	--	---

POSITION			NAME	MBANJWA		
	EXECUTIVE MANAGER	UMS	REPORT TO	MUNICIPAL MANAGER		
SALARY LEVEL			9	999		
DEPARTMENT	UMS	OR WHITE THE RESERVE AND A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	FINANCIAL YEAR	2021/2022		
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self- study, on-the- job, formal- dates and cost)	Support required (e.g. coaching)	Developme nt review and assessmen t: Training provider	Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)
Change Management	 Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer
Program and Project Management	 Program & Project Planning and implementation Service Delivery Management. Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer

Tanger and the second s	31/07/2021
Service provider to be appointed.	DATE
Training through a service provider	Al farda
Short course NQF 6	MM's SIGNATURE
Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	
mSCOA implementation a aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Procurem	
Financial Management	EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE