



Mogale City

Local Municipality

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN:

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY

AS REPRESENTED BY THE

MUNICIPAL MANAGER:

MAKHOSANA MSEZANA

AND

ACTING EXECUTIVE MANAGER: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL
MANAGEMENT:

THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MUNICIPALITY

FOR THE

FINANCIAL YEAR: 01 JULY 2022 TO 30 JUNE 2023

[Signature]

S.P. KV

T.G

[Signature]

DAL

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

ENTERED INTO BY AND BETWEEN

MOGALE CITY LOCAL MUNICIPALITY herein represented by **Makhosana Msezana** as the **Municipal Manager** (hereinafter referred to as the Employer or Supervisor)

And

Samukelisiwe Mdlalose as the **Acting Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management** (hereinafter referred to as the Employee).

WHEREBY IT IS AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Employer has entered into a contract of employment with the Employee in Terms of section 57(1)(a) of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act 32 of 2000 ("the Systems Act"). The Employer and the Employee are hereinafter referred to as the "the Parties".
- 1.2 The parties wish to ensure that they are clear about the goals to be achieved, and secure the commitment of the Employee to a set of outcomes that will secure local government policy goals.
- 1.3 The parties wish to ensure that there is compliance with Sections 57(4A), 57(4B), 57(C) and 57(5) of the Systems Act, Local Government: Municipal Performance Regulations for Municipal Managers and Managers directly accountable to Municipal Manager, 2006 & Local Government: Competency Framework for Senior Managers, 2014.

2. PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT

The purpose of this Agreement is to –

- 2.1 Comply with the provisions of the Contract of Employment entered into between the parties;

WS

S.P. KV

T.G.

DAL

- 2.2 Specify objectives and targets established for the Employee and to communicate to the Employee the Employer's expectations of the Employee's performance expectations and accountabilities;
- 2.3 Specify accountabilities as set out in the Performance Management Plan (Annexure A);
- 2.4 Monitor and measure performance against set targeted outputs;
- 2.5 Use the Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan as the basis for assessing whether the employee has met the performance expectations applicable to his job;
- 2.6 Appropriately reward the Employee in accordance with the Employer's performance management policy in the event of outstanding performance; and
- 2.7 Give effect to the Employer's commitment to a performance-orientated relationship with the Employee in attaining equitable and improved service delivery.

3 COMMENCEMENT AND DURATION

- 3.1 This Agreement will commence on the **1 JULY 2022** and will remain in force until **30 JUNE 2023**; where after a new Performance Management Agreement, Performance Management Plan and Personal Development Plan shall be concluded between the parties for the next financial year or any portion thereof.
- 3.2 The parties will review the provisions of the Agreement during February each year. The parties will conclude a new Performance Management Agreement and Performance Management Plan that replaces this Agreement at least once a year by not later 31 July.
- 3.3 This Agreement will terminate on the termination of the Employee's contract of employment for any reason.
- 3.4 The content of this Agreement may be revised at any time during the above- mentioned period to determine the applicability of the matters agreed upon.
- 3.5 If at any time during the validity of this Agreement the work environment alters (whether as a result of government or council decisions or otherwise) to the extent that the contents of this Agreement are no longer appropriate, the contents shall immediately be revised.

4 PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

- 4.1 The Performance Plan (Annexure A) sets out-

4.1.1 The performance objectives and targets that must be met by the Employee; and

2021/2022 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager:
Maanda Pringle Raedani and Acting Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management

mr

S.P. KV

7.6

DAL

4.1.2 The time frames within which those performance objectives and targets must be met.

4.2 The performance objectives and targets reflected in Annexure A are set by the Employer in consultation with the Employee and based on the Integrated Development Plan and the Budget of the Employer and shall include key objectives; key performance indicators; target and weightings.

4.3 The key objectives describe the main tasks that need to be done. The key performance indicators provide the details of the evidence that must be provided to show that a key objective has been achieved. The target dates describe the timeframe within which the work must be achieved. The weightings show the relative importance of the key objectives to each other.

4.4 The Employee's performance will, in addition, be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's Integrated Development Plan.

5 PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

5.1 The Employee agrees to participate in the performance management system that the Employer adopts or introduces for the Employer, management and municipal staff of the Employer.

5.2 The Employee accepts that the purpose of the performance management system will be to provide a comprehensive system with specific performance standards to assist the Employer, management and municipal staff to perform to the standards required.

5.3 The Employer will consult the Employee about the specific performance standards that will be included in the performance management system as applicable to the Employee.

6 THE EMPLOYEE AGREES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM THAT THE EMPLOYER ADOPTS

6.1 The Employee undertakes to actively focus towards the promotion and implementation of the KPAs (including special projects relevant to the employee's responsibilities) within the local government framework.

6.2 The criteria upon which the performance of the Employee shall be assessed shall consist of two components, both of which shall be contained in the performance agreement.

6.2.1 The Employee must be assessed against both components, with a weighting of 80:20 allocated to the Key Performance Areas (KPAs) and the Leading

wa

S.P. KV 7.6

DAU

Competency Requirements (LCRs)/ Core Managerial Competencies (CMC) respectively.

6.2.2 Each area of assessment will be weighted and will contribute a specific part to the total score.

6.2.3 KPA's covering the main areas of work will account for 80 weighting and CRs will account for 20 weighting of the final assessment.

6.3 The Employee's assessment will be based on his/her performance in terms of the outputs/ outcomes (performance indicators) identified as per attached Performance Plan (Annexure A), which are linked to the KPA's, and will constitute 80 weighting of the overall assessment result as per the weightings agreed to between the employer and Employee:

KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS (KPA'S)	WEIGHTING
Municipal Transformation and Organizational Development	10%
Local Economic Development	30%
Good Governance and Public Participation	20%
Financial Viability	15%
Basic Service Delivery and Infrastructure Development	25%
Total	100%

6.4 The Leading & Core Competencies will make up the other 20% of the Employee's assessment score. The competency framework consists of six (6) leading competencies which comprise of twenty (20) driving competencies that communicate what is expected for effective performance in local government.

The six (6) core competencies that act as drivers to ensure that the leading competencies are executed at an optimal level.

LEADING COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS		WEIGHTS %
Strategic Direction and Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact and influence Institutional Performance Management Strategic Planning and Management Organizational Awareness 	10
People Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Human Capital Planning & Development Diversity Management Employee Relations Management Negotiation and Dispute Management 	10
Program & Project Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management 	20

2021/2022 Performance Management Agreement entered into by and between the Municipal Manager: Maanda Pringle Raedani and Acting Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management

[Handwritten signature]

S.P. KV Z.G

[Handwritten signature]
DAL

LEADING COMPETENCY REQUIREMENTS		WEIGHTS %
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	
Financial Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget Planning & Execution • Financial Strategy & Delivery • Financial Reporting & Monitoring 	20
Change Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change Vision & Strategy • Process Design & Improvement • Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	20
Governance Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy Formulation • Risk and Compliance Management • Cooperative Governance 	20
CORE COMPETENCIES		
Moral Competence		
Planning and Organizing		
Analysis and Innovation		
Knowledge and Information Management		
Communication		
Result and Quality Focus		
TOTAL		100

7 EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

7.1 The Performance Management Plan (Annexure A) to this Agreement sets out-

7.1.1 The standards and procedures for evaluating the Employee's performance; and

7.1.2 The intervals for the evaluation of the Employee's performance.

7.2 Despite the establishment of agreed intervals for evaluation, the Employer may in addition review the Employee's performance at any stage while the contract of employment remains in force.

7.3 Personal growth and development needs identified during any performance review discussion must be documented in a Personal Development Plan as well as the actions agreed to and implementation must take place within set time frames.

7.4 The Employee's performance will be measured in terms of contributions to the goals and strategies set out in the Employer's IDP.

7.5 The annual performance appraisal will involve:

7.5.1 Assessment of the achievement of results as outlined in the performance Plan:



S.P. KV 7.6


DAL

- (a) Each KPA should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards or performance indicators have been met and with due regard to hoc tasks that had to be performed under the KPA;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each KPA;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final KPA score.

7.5.2 Assessment of the Competency Requirements (CRs)

- (a) Each CR should be assessed according to the extent to which the specified standards have been met;
- (b) An indicative rating on the five-point scale should be provided for each CR;
- (c) The applicable assessment rating calculator must then be used to add the scores and calculate a final CR score.

7.5.3 Overall rating

An overall rating is calculated by using the applicable assessment-rating calculator. Such overall rating represents the outcome of the performance appraisal.

7.6 The assessment of the performance of the Employee will be based on the following rating scale for KPA's and CRs:

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Unacceptable Performance Performance does not meet the standard expected for the job. The employee has failed to demonstrate the commitment level expected in the job despite management efforts to encourage improvement.	1	59% and below
Performance Not Fully Effective Performance is below the standard required for the job in key areas. Performance meets some of the standards expected for the job.	2	60 – 69 %

mt

S.P. KV

7.6

DAL

Overall Performance	Rating	Performance Score
Performance Fully Effective Performance fully meets the standards expected in all areas of the job	3	70 – 79 %
Performance Significantly Above Expectations / Exceptional Performance Performance is significantly higher than the standard expected in the job.	4	80 – 89 %
Outstanding Performance Performance far exceeds the standard expected of an employee at this level.	5	90 – 100 %

7.7 For purposes of evaluating the annual performance of managers directly accountable to the Municipal Manager, an evaluation panel constituted of the following persons must be established –

- i. Municipal Manager;
- ii. Chairperson of the Performance Audit Committee or the Audit Committee in the absence of a Performance Audit Committee;
- iii. Member of the Mayoral Committee; and
- iv. Municipal Manager from another Municipality.

8 SCHEDULES FOR PERFORMANCE REVIEWS

8.1 The performance of each Employee in relation to his or her performance agreement shall be reviewed on the following dates with the understanding that reviews in the first and third quarter may be verbal if performance is satisfactory:

Quarter	Timeline	Date of the Review
First Quarter	July – September 2022	October 2022
Second Quarter	October – December 2022	January 2023
Third Quarter	January – March 2023	April 2023
Fourth Quarter	April – June 2023	N/A

WVA

S.P. KV 7.6

BAL

- 8.2 The Employer shall keep a record of all formal and informal reviews, including the mid-year review and annual assessment meetings.
- 8.3 Performance feedback shall be based on the Employer's assessment of the Employee's performance.
- 8.4 The Employer will be entitled to review and make reasonable changes to the provisions of Annexure "A" from time to time for operational reasons. The Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.
- 8.5 The Employer may amend the provisions of Annexure "A" whenever the performance management system is adopted, implemented and/or amended as the case may be. In that case the Employee will be fully consulted before any such change is made.

9 DEVELOPMENTAL REQUIREMENTS

The Personal Development Plan (PDP) for addressing developmental gaps is attached as Annexure B.

10. OBLIGATIONS OF THE EMPLOYER

10.1 The Employer shall:—

- 10.1.1 Create an enabling environment to facilitate effective performance by the Employee;
- 10.1.2 Provide access to skills development and capacity building opportunities;
- 10.1.3 Work collaboratively with the Employee to solve problems and generate solutions to common problems that my impact on the performance of the Employee;
- 10.1.4 On the request of the Employee delegate such powers reasonably required by the Employee to enable him/ her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this Agreement; and
- 10.1.5 Make available to the Employee such resources as the Employee may reasonably require from time to time to assist him/her to meet the performance objectives and targets established in terms of this agreement.

11 CONSULTATION

- 11.1 The Employer agrees to consult the Employee timeously where the exercising of the powers will have amongst others –

mt

S.P. KV Z.G

BAU

11.1.1 A direct effect on the performance of any of the Employee's functions;

11.1.2 Commit the Employee to implement or to give effect to a decision made by the Employer; and

11.1.3 A substantial financial effect on the Employer.

11.2 The Employer agrees to inform the Employee of the outcome of any decisions taken pursuant to the exercise of powers contemplated in 11.1 as soon as is practicable to enable the Employee to take any necessary action without delay.

12. MANAGEMENT OF EVALUATION OUTCOMES

12.1 The evaluation of the Employee's performance will form the basis for rewarding outstanding performance or correcting unacceptable performance.

12.2 A performance bonus of 5% to 14% of the inclusive annual remuneration package may be paid to the Employee in recognition of performance and the amount payable would be calculated on the following basis:

Performance Rating			Bonus Amount
1.	0% - 59%	Performance Unacceptable	0% of total package
2.	60% - 69%	Performance Not Fully Effective	
3.	70% - 79%	Performance Fully Effective	Remuneration Progression
4.	80% - 89%	Performance Significantly Above Expectations/ Exceptional Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Remuneration Progression• 5% - 9% of Total Package
5.	90% - 100%	Outstanding Performance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Remuneration Progression• 10% - 14% of total package

In the case of unacceptable performance, the Employer shall –

12.2.1 provide systematic remedial or developmental support to assist the Employee to improve his or her performance; and

12.2.2 after appropriate counseling and having provided the necessary guidance and/ or support as well as reasonable time for improvement in performance, the Employer may consider steps to terminate the contract of employment of the employee on grounds of unfitness or incapacity to carry out his or her duties.

WFA

S.P. KV T.G DAL

13 DISPUTE RESOLUTION

13.1. Any disputes about the nature of the employee's performance agreement, whether it relates to key responsibilities, priorities, methods of assessment and/ or any other matter provide for, shall be mediated by –

13.1.1 The Executive Mayor within thirty (30) days of receipt of a formal dispute from the Employee; or

13.1.2 Any other person appointed by the Executive Mayor.

13.2 In the event that the mediation process contemplated above fails, clause 19.3 of the Contract of Employment shall apply.

14 GENERAL

14.1 The contents of this agreement and the outcome of any review conducted in terms of Annexure A may be made available to the public by the Employer.

14.2 Nothing in this agreement diminished the obligations, duties or accountabilities of the Employee in terms of his/ her contract of employment, or the effects of existing or new regulations, circulars, policies, directives or other instruments.

Thus done and signed at Krugersdorp on this the 31 day of July 2022

AS WITNESSES:

1. Mokolae

[Signature]
EXECUTIVE MANAGER:
INTEGRATED ENVIROMENTAL
MANAGEMENT

2. TC Matlala

Thus done and signed at Krugersdorp on this the 31 day of July 2022

AS WITNESSES:

1. [Signature]

[Signature]
MUNICIPAL MANAGER

2. [Signature]

National Outcome	National Outcome 9: Responsive, accountable, effective and efficient local government system									
NDP Chapter	Chapter 3: Economy and Employment, Chapter 4: Economic Infrastructure and Chapter 8: Transforming Human Settlements									
Strategic Goal	To foster a conducive environment for broad based economic development									
DEPARTMENT: INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT										
KPA: SERVICE DELIVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT										
PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASE LINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME PROJECT MILESTONE	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE
Executive Manager: Output	Refuse removal in informal settlement	All Wards	Number of registered informal settlements with access to solid waste removal services	%	No	TBC	19%	Q1	19	1= 50% and below delivery of solid waste removal services against target set
								Q2	19	2= 50% to 60% of solid waste removal services against target set
								Q3	19	3= 70% to 75% of solid waste removal services against target set
								Q4	19	4= 60% to 65% of solid waste removal services against target set
										5= 80% to 100% of solid waste removal services against target set
								Q1	100%	1=50% and below achievement against target set
								Q2	100%	2=50-60% achievement against target set

WTF

S.P. KU Z.C DAL

Executive Manager Output	Service delivery	All Wards	% SDBIP projects successfully implemented within allocated timeframes and budget.	%	71%	100%	Q3	100%	37-70-78% achievement against target for
							Q4	100%	4-60-65% achievement against target for
									5-80-100% achievement against target for
KPA: GOOD GOVERNANCE AND PUBLIC PARTICIPATION									
PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASE ANNUAL LINE TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAM MILESTONE	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE
							Q1	-	1-55% and below Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans
							Q2	-	2-60-65% Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans
Executive Manager Output	AG Audit Action Plans	All Wards	% Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans		%	TBC	Q3	100%	3-67-72% Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans
							Q4	100%	4-80-85% Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans
									5-95-100% Implementation of AG Audit Action Plans
							Q1	-	1-55% and below Implementation of departmental mitigation action on the Strategic Risk Register
							Q2	-	2-60% - 65% Implementation of departmental mitigation action on the Strategic Risk Register
Executive Manager Output	Risk Management	All Wards	% Implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register	4%	%	TBC	Q3	100%	3-70% - 75% Implementation of departmental mitigation action on the Strategic Risk Register
							Q4	100%	4-80% - 85% Implementation of departmental mitigation action on the Strategic Risk Register
									5-90% - 100% Implementation of departmental mitigation action on the Strategic Risk Register

S.P. KU 7.6
DAL

WTA

KPA: FINANCIAL VIABILITY 15%									
PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASE LINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMM E/PROJECT MILESTONE
Executive Manager: Output	Revenue	All Wards	Total rand value generated through cemeteries	4%	Rand value	TBC	R1,638,692	Q1	1= 65% and below total rand value generated through cemeteries
								Q2	2= 60% - 65% total rand value generated through cemeteries
								Q3	3= 70% - 75% total rand value generated through cemeteries
								Q4	4= 80% - 85% total rand value generated through cemeteries 5= 90% to 100% total rand value generated through cemeteries
									R1,638,693.00

KPA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT									
PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASE LINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMM E/PROJECT MILESTONE
Executive Manager: Output	Labour disputes	All Wards	Days taken to attend to employees' grievances within the prescribed timelines (Step 2)	20%	Days	TBC	5 days	Q1	1= 65% and below approval of complaint applications
								Q2	2= 60% - 65% approval of complaint applications
								Q3	3= 70% - 75% approval of complaint applications
								Q4	4= 80% - 85% approval of complaint applications 5= 90% - 100% approval of complaint applications
Executive Manager: Output	AG Audit Action Plans	All Wards	% Implementation of AG Audit Action plans	5%	%	100%	100%	Q1	1= 55% and below implementation of the AG Audit Action plans
								Q2	2= 60% - 65% implementation of the AG Audit Action plans
								Q3	3= 70% - 75% implementation of the AG Audit Action plans
								Q4	4= 80% - 85% implementation of the AG Audit Action plans 5= 90% - 100% implementation of the AG Audit Action plans
Executive Manager: Output	Risk Management	All Wards	% Implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register	5%	%	100%	100%	Q1	1= 55% and below implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register
								Q2	2= 60% - 65% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register
								Q3	3= 70% - 75% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register
								Q4	4= 80% - 85% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register 5= 90% - 100% implementation of departmental mitigation actions on the Strategic Risk Register

MT

S.P. KV 7.6

SA

KPA: MUNICIPAL TRANSFORMATION AND ORGANISATIONAL DEVELOPMENT 5%

PLANNING LEVEL	MSCOA PROJECT	WARDS TO BENEFIT	KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	WEIGHTING	UNIT OF MEASURE	BASE LINE	ANNUAL TARGET	QUARTER	PROGRAMME PROJECT MILESTONE	INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE
Executive Manager: Output	Labour disputes	All Wards	% of grievances attended within the set time limit (step 2) finalised internally	5%	%	TBC	100%	Q1	100%	1= 50% and below grievances attended within the set time frame
								Q2	100%	2= 50% - 59% grievances attended within the set time frame
								Q3	100%	3= 70% - 79% grievances attended within the set time frame
								Q4	100%	4= 80% - 89% grievances attended within the set time frame
										5= 90% - 100% grievances attended within the set time frame

Total = 65%, readjustment of weightings to amount to 100%

Signed and Accepted by the Acting Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management

Samukelisiwe Mdlalose

Date:

Approved by the Municipal Manager

Makhosana Mazwana

Date:

31/7/2022

CORE MANAGERIAL	WEIGHT	KPI	PERFORMANCE	EVIDENCE
Strategic Direction and Leadership	5	Achievement of organisational objectives in line with the departmental functions	1=59% and below 2= 60 -69% 3= 70-79% 4=80-89% 5=90-100%	Departmental analysis provided
	5	No. of Individual Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers by 31 August 2022	1= 4 Performance Management 2= 4 Performance Management 3= 4 Performance Management 4= 4 Performance Management 5= 4 Performance Management	Four (4) 2021/2022 Performance Management Agreements signed with Managers
People Management and Empowerment	10	Development of Personal Development Plans (PDPs) for Managers	1=PDPs developed by 30 2=PDPs developed by 30 3=PDPs developed by 30 4=PDPs developed by 15 5=PDPs developed by 31	Proof on the submission of PDPs for Managers as part of the performance
Program and Project Management	20	Service Delivery Management	1= 59% and below 2= 60-69% implementation of 3= 70-79% of implementation 4= 80-89% implementation of 5= 90-100% implementation of	SDBIP Quarterly Performance Reports from Monitoring and Evaluation Division
Financial Management	10	% Negative Variance on operational spent	1=8% unauthorised expenditure 2= 6% unauthorised 3= 0% unauthorised 5% savings 5= 10% savings	1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023 In year monitoring report
	10	% of funded capital budget spent at the end of financial year	1= 92% Spent 2= 94% Spent 3= 96% Spent 4= 98% Spent 5= 100% Spent	1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023 In year monitoring report
Change Leadership (Change Vision and Strategy; Process Design and Improvement; Change Impact Monitoring and Evaluation)	10	% Change Management Plans Implementation	1=59% and Below 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100% Implementation	Change Management Plans (BCPs) implemented
	10	Communication to employees	1= 2 meetings 2= 3 meetings 3= 4 meetings 4= 5 meetings 5= 6 meetings	Agenda and Attendance Register of departmental meetings
Governance Leadership	10	Revision and Development of Policies	1=59% and Below 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100% Implementation	Proof of inputs provided (emails submissions) Revised and Developed Policies
	10	% Implementation of the annual risk management implementation plan	1=59% and Below 2=60-69% Implementation 3=70-79% Implementation 4=80-89% Implementation 5=90-100% Implementation	Dashboard Risk Management Reports from M&E

Signed and Accepted by the Acting Executive Manager: Integrated Environmental Management

Samu Mdlalose

Date: _____

Approved by the Municipal Manager

Makhosana Msezana



Date: _____

20/11/2022
DAL

SURNAME	MDLALOSE	NAME	SAMU
POSITION	ACTING EXECUTIVE	REPORT TO	MUNICIPAL MANAGER
SALARY LEVEL	2	SALARY BAND	S56
DEPARTMENT	INTEGRATED ENVIRONMENTAL	FINANCIAL YEAR	2022/2023
Competency area to be developed	Specific development objectives (what to achieve)	Competency indicators (evidence of development)	Development activities (self-study, on-the-job, formal-dates and cost)
			Support required (e.g. coaching)
			Development review and assessment: Training provider
			Sign-off review and assessment (Individual, Expert Trainer & Coach)
Change Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Change Vision & Strategy Process Design & Improvement Change Impact Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6
			Training through a service provider
			Service provider to be appointed.
			Trainer

MA

S.P. KV 76
BAC

Program and Project Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Program & Project Planning and Implementation Service Delivery Management. Program & Project Monitoring & Evaluation 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer
Financial Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> mSCOA implementation aligned to the budgeting process. Standard for Infrastructure Procurement and Delivery Management Procurement Policy implementation. 	Portfolio of evidence as required by the Training institution.	Short course NQF 6	Training through a service provider	Service provider to be appointed.	Trainer
EMPLOYEE SIGNATURE		MM'S SIGNATURE		DATE	29/7/2022 